

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	o.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,316	<u>-</u>	03/23/2004	Motoki Yoshida	742425-30	742425-30 1763	
22204	7590	04/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP				GUTMAN, HILARY L		
	401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128				3612		
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/200:	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary									
		10/806,316	YOSHIDA ET AL.						
	omec Adden Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	The MAIL INC DATE of this commission and	Hilary Gutman	3612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status			•						
1)🖂) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2005</u> .								
/ ·		s action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 7-9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/806,316

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 13, "pain" should be "pane". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 3.
- 4. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 4.

When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3612

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '238 in view of Davies et al.

JP '238 discloses a side structure of a vehicle comprising: a slide door opening area 2 formed at the side wall of the vehicle, a slide door 6 slidable to freely open and close the slide door opening area, a window opening area formed at the upper portion of the slide door, a window pane (inherent, but not numbered) closing the window opening area, wherein, a rear periphery of the slide door opening area comprises a first rear periphery formed substantially corresponding in position to an area where the window opening area is arranged and a second rear periphery formed below the first rear periphery with respect to the vehicular vertical direction, said first rear periphery extends substantially vertically and extends upward from a position that corresponds in height to the upper periphery of the window pane in the state where the window pane is lowered down to the maximum, said first rear periphery being located behind said second rear periphery with respect to the vehicle's longitudinal direction, the slide door is slidable to a fully opened position where a front periphery of the window opening area substantially corresponds in position to said second rear periphery of the slide door opening area,

Application/Control Number: 10/806,316

Art Unit: 3612

the longitudinal length between said front periphery of the window opening area and said first

rear periphery is greater than the longitudinal length between said front periphery of the window

Page 4

opening area and said second rear periphery in a fully-opened condition of the slide door, and a

lower portion of a rear periphery of the slide door substantially corresponding in position to said

second rear periphery is located in front of an upper portion substantially corresponding in

position condition of the slide door, to said first rear periphery in a fully-closed condition of the

slide door.

With regard to claim 2, a center rail 15 is located in vertically intermediate position of the

side wall of the vehicle and extends between said second rear periphery and a rear end of the

vehicle. A center roller 13 is provided at the slide door and slidably supported by the center rail.

JP '238 lacks the window pane being elevated and lowered to freely open and close the

window opening area.

Davies et al. (6,220,650) teach a movable window pane 18 which may be lowered into

the vehicle door 12 to provide an opening.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to have provided a movable window pane as taught by Davies et al. in place of the

window pane of JP '238 in order to allow passengers within the vehicle to get fresh air.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7-9 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,316

Art Unit: 3612

9. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

Page 5

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

10. Claims 5-6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being substantial duplicates of other

pending claims, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3612

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9327, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hilary Gutman

April 13, 2005